1	Courtland L. Reichman	Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
	(California Bar No. 268873)	adam.alper@kirkland.com
2	Jennifer P. Estremera	555 California Street
_	(California Bar No. 251076)	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
3	MCKOOL SMITH PC	San Francisco, California 94104
	255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510	Telephone: (415) 439-1400
4	Redwood Shores, California 94065	Facsimile: (415) 439-1500
_	Telephone: (650) 394-1400	M: 1 1M D M : (CDM 211001)
5	Facsimile: (650) 394-1422	Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
	creichman@mckoolsmith.com	michael.devries@kirkland.com
6	jestremera@mckoolsmith.com	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
7	John D. Commboll (Admitted Due II View)	333 South Hope Street
7	John B. Campbell (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Los Angeles, California 90071
0	Kathy H. Li (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Telephone: (213) 680-8400
8	MCKOOL SMITH PC 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700	Facsimile: (213) 680-8500
0	Austin, Texas 78701	Lian Dang (SRN 25/221)
9	Telephone: (512) 692-8700	Lien Dang (SBN 254221) lien.dang@kirkland.com
10	Facsimile: (512) 692-8744	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
10	jcampbell@mckoolsmith.com	3330 Hillview Avenue
11	kli@mkoolsmith.com	Palo Alto, California 94304
11	Kii @ iiikooisiiittii.com	Telephone: (650) 859-7000
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Facsimile: (650) 859-7500
12	Immersion Corporation	Attorneys for Defendant
13	minorision corporation	Fitbit, Inc.
10		
14		
15		
1.6	LINITED CTAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
16	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	SAN JO	OSE DIVISION
	532.73	
19	IMMERSION CORPORATION,	CASE NO. 5:17-cv-03886-LHK
20	INIVILIZATION CORTORATION,	CASE NO. 5.17-CV-05000-LIIX
20	Plaintiff,	
21	Transcrit,	
_1	v.	JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND
22		PREHEARING STATEMENT
	FITBIT, INC.,	PURSUANT TO PATENT LOCAL
23		RULE 4-3
	Defendant.	
24		Judge: Honorable Lucy Koh
		Complaint filed: July 10, 2017
25		
26		
<u>, ,</u>		
27		
_ ,		
28		

PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3 and the Case Management Order (Dkt. No. 35) and the Court's orders regarding the parties' stipulations to extend time (Dkt. Nos. 51 and 57), Plaintiff Immersion Corporation ("Immersion" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant Fitbit, Inc. ("Fitbit" or "Defendant") (collectively, "the Parties") hereby submit this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.¹

A. AGREED UPON CONSTRUCTIONS

The Parties have met and conferred and agree that the following terms shall be accorded their plain and ordinary meaning.

Patent	Claim Term	Agreed Upon Construction
U.S. Patent 8,059,105	"user-independent event" (Claim 19)	Plain and ordinary meaning
U.S. Patent 8,638,301	"first data signal" (Claim 27)	Plain and ordinary meaning
U.S. Patent 8,638,301	"second data signal" (Claim 31)	Plain and ordinary meaning

B. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS OF DISPUTED TERMS

The Parties have met and conferred to narrow the remaining disputes to seven terms. The parties have been unable to agree on the proposed evidence from the Parties, as it is Fitbit's position that Immersion belatedly changed its constructions and its evidence in violation of Patent Local Rule 4-2(b).² Immersion strongly disagrees with Fitbit's characterizations of its efforts during the meet

Fitbit's position is that the Parties' claim construction disputes, either those described here and in Immersion's notice (Dkt. No. 56) or more generally in the Parties' claim-construction exchanges, do not affect the Court's § 101 analysis.

Fitbit objects to Immersion's late-disclosed claim constructions and intrinsic and extrinsic evidence. On January 8, the Parties exchanged claim terms. On January 18, the Parties exchanged claim constructions and intrinsic and extrinsic evidence pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4.2. On January 25, Immersion served a corrected exchange, removing a claim term it had proposed for construction on January 18 but had not timely identified on January 8. On February 22, the day before the deadline for the joint claim construction statement, Immersion proposed

and confer process.³ A chart displaying Immersion's proposed constructions for each disputed claim term along with the supporting intrinsic and extrinsic evidence is attached as Exhibit A. A chart displaying Fitbit's proposed constructions for each disputed claim term along with the supporting intrinsic and extrinsic evidence is attached as Exhibit B.

C. IDENTIFICATION OF SIGNIFICANT CLAIM TERMS

The Parties agree that the following two claim terms for the court's construction are significant:

	Patent	Claim Term
1	U.S. Patent 8,059,105	"one or more processors configured to receive an input signal and generate a force signal based on the input signal, wherein the input signal is associated with a user-independent event" (Claim 19)
2	U.S. Patent 8,351,299	"a processing device that receives the sensor output and accumulates counts associated with the sensor output, the processing device providing an output to the vibrotactile device once a threshold associated with the accumulated

new constructions and/or positions for each and every claim term. On February 23, the deadline for the joint claim construction statement, at 7:31 PM Pacific Time, Immersion served edits to its claim construction positions and evidence, identifying new intrinsic and extrinsic evidence not previously cited in its exchanges. For the purpose of the joint submission, Fitbit includes in its chart Immersion's proposed constructions from February 22, 2018, but rejects Immersion's proposed changes to evidence in violation of PLR 4.2(b). Fitbit reserves its rights to object to and/or move to strike Immersion's untimely-disclosed constructions and evidence. Immersion argues that Fitbit also changed its constructions, but Fitbit's changes were exclusively ones that adopted proposals from Immersion or dropped terms, rather than changes that introduced wholly new proposed constructions and/or evidence past the deadline for the exchange.

On January 18, the Parties exchanged claim constructions and intrinsic and extrinsic evidence pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4.2. On January 24, Fitbit informed Immersion that the draft Immersion had served included a claim term not previously identified by Immersion. On January 25, Immersion informed Fitbit that its inclusion of that claim term was inadvertent, and Immersion immediately served a corrected exchange removing the claim term it had inadvertently included. On February 22, during the Parties' meet and confer, Immersion proposed revised claim constructions in an effort to compromise. On February 23, during the Parties' exchange of drafts of the joint claim construction statement, Immersion served edits to its claim construction positions and evidence which reflected Immersion's compromises made on February 22. Fitbit complains of Immersion's revisions during the Parties' meet and confer process, but fails to point out that Fitbit made changes to its own constructions and dropped two claim terms at this late hour. Further, following Immersion's February 22 revisions, the Parties were able to reach agreement on certain claim constructions.

1
2
3
4

Patent	Claim Term
	counts is reached."
	(Claim 14)

Immersion identifies the following additional claim term as significant:

	Patent	Claim Term
1	U.S. Patent 8,059,105	"haptic feedback device" (Claim 19)

Fitbit identifies the following additional claim terms as significant:

	Patent	Claim Term
1	U.S. Patent 8,059,105	"generate a force signal based on the input signal" (Claim 19)
2	U.S. Patent 8,351,299	"periodic" (Claim 14)
3	U.S. Patent 8,638,301	"detect an interaction with the first mobile device" (Claim 27)
4	U.S. Patent 8,638,301	"determine a change in a display signal" (Claim 27)

D. ANTICIPATED LENGTH OF TIME NECESSARY FOR HEARING

The Parties respectfully request three hours for the claim construction hearing, with each party allotted ninety minutes.

E. WITNESSES TO APPEAR AT THE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING

Plaintiff Immersion may present testimony of Dr. Sigurd Meldal with respect to how a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the disclosures in the asserted patent. Plaintiff Immersion anticipates presenting such testimony via declaration, but will be prepared to provide live testimony at the hearing.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Defendant Fitbit does not believe that any live testimony is necessary for the claim construction hearing. However, if Immersion presents expert testimony, while Fitbit reserves its rights to object to that testimony, Fitbit reserves its right to present rebuttal expert testimony.

F. IDENTIFICATION OF FACTUAL FINDINGS REQUESTED FROM COURT

Immersion requests that the Court make the factual finding that a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the terms governed by 112(6) to have the corresponding structure identified by Immersion. Further, Immersion requests that the Court make the factual finding that a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the term "haptic feedback device" is construed as proposed by Immersion. Further, Immersion requests that the court making the factual finding that the remaining terms have a plain and ordinary meaning as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art.

At this time, Fitbit does not seek any factual findings in relation to the claim construction hearing.

DATED: February 23, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

<u>/s/ Adam R. Alper</u>

1		Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
2		KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 California Street
3		San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 439-1400
4		Facsimile: (415) 439-1500
		adam.alper@kirkland.com
5		Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
6		333 South Hope Street
7		Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 680-8400
8		Facsimile: (213) 680-8500 michael.devries@kirkland.com
9		Lien K. Dang (SBN 254221)
10		KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
		3330 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304
11		Telephone: (650) 859-7000
12		Facsimile: (650) 859-7500
10		lien.dang@kirkland.com
13 14		Attorneys for Defendant Fitbit, Inc.
15	DATED: February 23, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
16		MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
17		/s/ Courtland Reichman
18		Courtland Reichman (SBN 268873) Jennifer P. Estremera (SBN 251076)
10		MCKOOL SMITH PC 255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510
19		Redwood Shores, California 94065
20		Telephone: (650) 394-1400 Facsimile: (650) 394-1422
21		creichman@mckoolsmith.com jestremera@mckoolsmith.com
22		bsokhansanj@mckoolsmith.com
23		John Campbell (Pro Hac Vice)
		Kathy H. Li (Pro Hac Vice) MCKOOL SMITH PC
24		300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700
25		Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512) 692-8700
26		Facsimile: (512) 692-8744 jcampbell@mckoolsmith.com
27		kli@mckoolsmith.com
28		Attorneys for Plaintiff Immersion Corporation
181		

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND 5 PREHEARING STATEMENT Case No. 5:17-cv-03886

1	ATTESTATION			
2	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the			
3	filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.			
4	DATED: February 23, 2018 /s/ Courtland Reichman			
5	Courtland Reichman			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				